

AD-A069 496

NAVAL SURFACE WEAPONS CENTER DAHLGREN LAB VA
ERROR HANDLING IN THE TRIDENT COMPILER.(U)

SEP 78 H G HUBER

UNCLASSIFIED

NSWC/DL-TR-3838

F/G 9/2

NL

| OF |
AD
A069496



END
DATE
FILMED
7-79
DDC

DISCLAIMER NOTICE

**THIS DOCUMENT IS BEST QUALITY
PRACTICABLE. THE COPY FURNISHED
TO DDC CONTAINED A SIGNIFICANT
NUMBER OF PAGES WHICH DO NOT
REPRODUCE LEGIBLY.**

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE			READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER TR-3838	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER	
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) <u>(6) ERROR HANDLING IN THE TRIDENT COMPILER.</u>		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED <u>(9) FINAL Reptis</u>	
6. AUTHOR(s) <u>(10) Hartmut G. Huber</u>		7. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)	
8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Naval Surface Weapons Center (Code K54) Dahlgren, VA 22448		9. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS <u>391 598 NIF</u>	
10. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS <u>(14) NSWC/DL-TR-3838</u>		11. REPORT DATE <u>(11) September 1978</u>	
		12. NUMBER OF PAGES <u>48</u>	
13. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(if different from Controlling Office) <u>(12) 48 PI</u>		14. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) <u>UNCLASSIFIED</u>	
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE	
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited			
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)			
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES <u>DDC-PLATE</u> <u>JUN 6 1979</u> <u>A</u>			
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) context parser LALR parser grammar			
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) → This paper describes briefly the error recovery strategy used within the LALR parser of the TRIDENT Compiler. The main part deals with an analysis of error situations that can occur in a Context Parser. This analysis is based on a classification of terminals, non-terminals, and heads according to certain syntactic characteristics. This information can be encoded in a table which allows error recovery to be table driven. This approach is used in the TRIDENT Compiler for parsing statements and expressions. ←			

FOREWORD

During the period of 1975 to 1976, a group within the Fire Control Programming Branch of the FBM Geoballistics Division designed and built a compiler for the TRIDENT Higher Level Language to be used for all fire control programming of the TRIDENT System. The error recovery system described in this report was developed as part of that project. This work was accomplished under task number 36401 and was administratively reviewed by R. M. Pollock, Head of the Programming Branch.

Released by:

Ralph A. Niemann
RALPH A. NIEMANN, Head
Strategic Systems Department

Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	
DDC TAB	
Unannounced	
Justification	
By _____	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or special
A P 23	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
FOREWORD	i
1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. ERROR RECOVERY IN CONJUNCTION WITH A LALR PARSER	4
3. OPERATOR GRAMMARS AND CONTEXT PARSERS	5
4. SYNTAX DIRECTED SEMANTIC PROCESSING	8
5. SYNTAX ERRORS IN A CONTEXT PARSER	10
6. ERROR RECOVERY IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE CONTEXT PARSER OF THE TRIDENT COMPILER	12
6.1 CLASSES OF TERMINALS	13
6.2 CLASSES OF HEADS	14
6.3 CLASSES OF NON-TERMINALS	15
6.4 SINGULAR CASE	17
6.5 IMPLEMENTATION CONSIDERATIONS	17
7. CONCLUSION	19
REFERENCES	20
APPENDIX A--TRIDENT STATEMENT AND EXPRESSION GRAMMAR	A-1
APPENDIX B--NAMES OF TERMINALS	B-1
APPENDIX C--ERROR RECOVERY TABLE HTMAP	C-1

1. INTRODUCTION

Any program that processes unscreened input must expect the worst about its input data. If the input data are as complex and, fortunately, as well structured as the source language for a compiler, then a very methodical approach must be used, the most reliable one being parsing according to a grammar.

Compilers use parsers for two purposes. First, to check the source program for syntactic correctness, and second, to control the sequence of semantic actions effecting the translation of the source code into intermediate or object code. This is normally done on several levels: on the lexical level to group characters into tokens, on the level of declarations that inform the compiler about symbols and do not generate code (declaration level), and on the level of constructs that generate code (expression and statement level).

In the TRIDENT Compiler, these three levels are handled by a scanner, an LALR parser, and a context parser (see Section 5). Errors in the source text can occur on any of these levels. Of course, errors can also occur during the execution of semantic routines since by far not all aspects of a programming language are handled syntactically. For example, all checking of compatible attributes of symbols such as OWN, TYPE, PROCEDURE, ARRAY, etc., is implemented by an extra mechanism outside the LALR parser. Similarly, all type checking of expressions is done outside the context parser.

In general, error handling in semantic routines, or parser support routines such as get-next-item, is much simpler than error handling within a parser since those routines deal with a very narrow environment known by the programmer. Therefore, a general method for error handling is to make the grammar used by the compiler more permissive than the true grammar for the language. For example, the compiler grammar will contain productions that allow different ordering of keywords in declarations such as:

OWN INTEGER variable list, or

INTEGER OWN variable list

or it may allow for a subscripted variable any number of subscripts instead of only one, two, or three. The relevant semantic routines will contain checks for the restrictions according to the true grammar and issue error messages accordingly. This approach is used in the TRIDENT Compiler extensively on the declaration level, to a lesser extent on the expression and statement level.

A parser, on the other hand, operates in a much more general environment and it takes much analysis and work on the programmer's part to classify error situations, to issue meaningful error messages, to take corrective actions, and to recover from the error situation; that is, to find a point to continue parsing. The primary goal of error processing is to inform the user precisely about the causes of errors in the program and to continue parsing the rest of the program after errors have been found in order to find possibly other independent errors without cascading errors found so far. The purpose is not to second-guess the real intentions of the user and to produce an object program according to what the user probably meant. In accordance with this philosophy, the TRIDENT Compiler will not generate any code once a syntax error has been found on the expression and statement level.

The following basic definitions will be used: An initial substring of the source text is said to contain a syntax error if it cannot be completed to a sentence in the language. The last item of the smallest initial substring with a syntax error is said to be the error item and its place in the source text is the error location.

This paper will explain very briefly the overall error recovery strategy within the LALR parser. The method is very simple and fast and works satisfactorily for a grammar that has almost no nested constructs. For grammars containing mostly nested constructs, a more sophisticated approach is appropriate. A promising approach would be to work out a practical method based on the theoretical scheme for error recovery in conjunction with LR parsers as presented in [1].

The major part of this paper deals with a general method of classifying error situations and corrective actions during error recovery in a context parser. This method is based on a classification of terminals, non-terminals, and heads of an operator grammar, according to certain syntactic characteristics. The scheme is explained in detail for the TRIDENT Higher Level Language (THLL). It allows condensation [1,2] of input text to higher syntactic units if the error item starts a new construct. However, no condensation of partially parsed input on the parser stack is permitted. The reason for this strict condensation policy is to preserve the integrity of the semantic environment. It is this problem of aligning semantic and syntactic processing across syntax errors that has found very little attention in the literature. Yet, in many compilers, including the TRIDENT Compiler, it is important to continue semantic processing even after syntax errors have been encountered since large classes of errors, e.g., type errors, would remain undetected.

This error recovery scheme does not employ backtracking of parsed input and does not pursue parallel parses. It is based on a systematic analysis of grammar units that allows the major error recovery decisions to be made ahead of time for all incorrect programs. It also the production of an error recovery table containing encodings of all important

classes of grammar units automatically from a grammar and certain additional information. This aspect and the approach to preserve the integrity of the semantic environment appear to be improvements of existing techniques for syntax error recovery.

2. ERROR RECOVERY IN CONJUNCTION WITH A LALR PARSER

A LALR parser can discover an error item as soon as this item is seen. However, it may be seen when the parser is in a lookahead state. In this case, recognition of the error item is delayed since the LALR parsing tables are optimized in the sense that in lookahead states only those items are considered individually that discriminate between next states, while all other items, legal or not, correspond to a 0 entry in the lookahead list and are treated alike by the lookahead state causing a transition to the same next state. Thus, instead of recognizing the error item at this time, the parser will, based on the assumption that the next item was a legal item not listed in the lookahead list, eventually enter a read state, possibly after a number of apply states, and then recognize the error condition. Thus, an error condition is encountered if the parser, being in a read state S, cannot read the next item.

The general approach in the TRIDENT Compiler is to supply the parser with an item it can read. This is achieved by inserting an item from the read list in the grammar tables into the source text. There is a special algorithm based on the concept of hard tokens (BEGIN, semicolon, END) and priority of tokens that will select a token in the read list. This algorithm has been tuned by trial and error through many experiments. It is most important for a decent behavior of this error recovery scheme. This algorithm may also decide to not select an item. In that case, the error recovery routine will either discard the error item or pop off one element of the parser stack making it the current state. This latter choice is the first corrective action attempt taken by the simple error recovery routine of a standard LALR parser [3].

When a particular error situation causes cascading problems, then it may be possible to make local modifications to the grammar and add error checks to relevant semantic routines. In this way, a small error recovery routine can be tuned up to a powerful debugging aid.

3. OPERATOR GRAMMARS AND CONTEXT PARSERS

The TRIDENT Compiler uses on the statement and expression level a parser which is an improved variation of the classic transition matrix parser as described by D. Gries [4]. This section and the next describe briefly the general behavior of this type of parser, called context parser, both from a syntactic and semantic viewpoint.

Let $G = (V_N, V_T, P, Q)$ be an operator grammar and $Q \rightarrow \text{ALPHA } Z \text{ FINIS}$ the only production in P that involves Q . The terminals ALPHA, FINIS serve as a begin and end marker of a sentence in $L(G)$. We shall consider strings over $V_T \cup V_{IN}$, where $V_{IN} \subset V_N$ is a set of input non-terminals. In praxis, V_{IN} will contain items such as variable-id, procedure-id, etc. Theoretically, V_{IN} can be any subset of V_N and, therefore, the parser described below will work for sentential forms. Thus,

$$L(G) = \{\text{ALPHA } S \text{ FINIS}: S \in (V_T \cup V_{IN})^* \text{ and } Z \xrightarrow{*} S\}$$

Since G is an operator grammar, non-terminals will never be adjacent, neither in rightsides of a production nor in any $S \in L$. We view S as a sequence of items alternating between terminals and non-terminals by filling in a NIL non-terminal, used only for this purpose, between two terminals if they are adjacent.

Consider a parser for $L(G)$ that works as follows: A sentence ALPHA S FINIS in $L(G)$ is parsed by moving through a finite sequence of configurations of the form

$$h_0 h_1 \dots h_k, V, T y \text{ FINIS}$$

where

$h_0 \dots h_k$ is a sequence of heads,

V is a non-terminal,

T is a terminal, and

y is a string, the remainder string not yet seen by the parser.

We call V the current non-terminal or the item being looked at, $h_0 \dots h_k$ its left context, and $T y \text{ FINIS}$ its right context. Each head is an incomplete right part of a production ending in a terminal. A head will be represented in this paper by an initial segment of a right part underlined and marked off, e.g.:

$$\underline{\text{variable}} = \quad \text{or} \quad \underline{\text{FOR variable}} = e \text{ STEP} \underline{}$$

The initial configuration is

$h_0, \text{ NIL}, S \text{ FINIS}$

where

h_0 is ALPHA.

The final configuration is

$h_0, Z, \text{ FINIS}$

The parser is initialized such that on input w the initial configuration is

$h_0, \text{ NIL}, w$

Thus, a string w parsed successfully as a sentence will have the form:

$S \text{ FINIS}$

where

$Z^* S$

The following moves or transitions from a configuration

$\dots h_{k-1} h_k, V, T V_1 T_1 \dots$

are possible:

- (1) $\dots h_{k-1} X, V_1, T_1 \dots$ $X = \underline{h_k VT}$
- (2) $\dots h_{k-1}, X, T_1 \dots$ $X = h_k VT$, V_1 must be NIL
- (3) $\dots h_{k-1}, X, T V_1 T_1 \dots$ $X = h_k V$
- (4) $\dots h_{k-1} h_k, X, T_1 \dots$ $X = VT$, V_1 must be NIL
- (5) $\dots h_k X, V_1, T_1 \dots$ $X = \underline{VT}$
- (6) Exit parser if current configuration is $h_0, Z, \text{ FINIS}$.
- (7) Error condition; attempt to find a resume configuration and continue.

No transitions according to a production $U \rightarrow V$ are ever made. It is implied that the same transition with U as non-terminal is to be used when V is in the non-terminal position. This assumption is made to reduce the size of the parsing tables. Grammars must satisfy certain conditions to allow this parsing scheme. This is explained in more detail in [5].

It is clear that for a given grammar, the number of all possible configurations is, in general, not finite. Some finite procedure is needed to compute the next configuration from the current one. For programming languages, normally a very limited context determines the transition uniquely. For the grammar of the TRIDENT Higher Level Language (THLL), one head to the left and one terminal to the right of the current non-terminal is sufficient. The THLL parser uses a set of computer-generated tables to determine for each triple h, V, T the next configuration. The transition information associated with each triple consists of:

- (1) NSYN = syntactic transition number ($1 \leq NSYN \leq 6$),
- (2) NSEM = semantic action number,
- (3) NEWX = new head or new non-terminal produced by this transition,

and is called the transition vector for h, V, T . This is described in detail in [5].

For our purpose here, it is only necessary to assume that the parser runs through a sequence of configurations described above and that the next configuration is computed from the configuration base, consisting of the current non-terminal V and some limited left and right context. Such a parser is called a context parser in contrast to an LR(k) parser. We call the sequence of heads to the left of the current non-terminal the head stack. It represents all incomplete rightsides of productions that wait for completion in the reverse order in which they were created.

To simplify the discussion, we assume that the configuration base is a triple. However, the approach is valid in general.

4. SYNTAX DIRECTED SEMANTIC PROCESSING

The main purpose of parsing is to determine syntactic correctness of the input string and to guide semantic processing to effect translation of the input to a semantically equivalent output.

To achieve the syntax directed semantic processing, a semantic routine is associated with each parsing cycle. On the grammar level, this means that a semantic action number NSEM is associated with each head and with each complete rightside. The semantic action SEMANTICS(NSEM) is executed before the corresponding head becomes the new head during the present reduction cycle or before the corresponding rightside is reduced to the leftside non-terminal.

We define a grammar with semantic action numbers as follows:

let $V \rightarrow V_1 T_1 V_2 T_2 \dots V_k T_k V_{k+1}$

be any production (some of the V_i 's may be missing) and let h_1, h_2, \dots, h_k be the heads corresponding to the rightside, from left to right. Then with this production a sequence of numbers n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k, n is associated with the following significance:

n_i , $1 \leq i \leq k$, specifies the semantic action to be taken when h_i is the new head of a syntactic reduction (cases 1 and 5).

n specifies the semantic action when V is the new non-terminal of a syntactic reduction (cases 2, 3, and 4).

It is well known that semantic processing is a major source of difficulty in error recovery. Not only is it necessary after a syntax error to search for a new sound syntactic situation from which to continue, but it is equally important to establish a corresponding semantic situation that makes sense as a basis for continuing semantic processing.

It is assumed here that the semantic situation is completely described by a small set of variables called semantic environment. For the TRIDENT Compiler, this set of variables consists of:

RTOP = a pointer to the top of the stack of operators,

DTOP = a pointer to the top of the stack of operands,

ICFL = a pointer to the last entry into the translated code,

NT = current non-terminal item, and

T = current terminal item.

The first three pointers must be reset to previous values, thus effectively undoing semantics that have begun but could not be completed properly. The other variables can be set to standard values.

Thus, in order to align semantic and syntactic processing across syntactic errors, the semantic environment is saved in certain syntactic situations and is retrieved when this syntactic situation becomes a resume point after processing a syntax error. In the TRIDENT Compiler, the three pointers RTOP, DTOP, and ICFL of the semantic environment are saved uniformly whenever a new head is put on the head stack ($NSYN = 1$, and $NSYN = 5$). Thus, the head stack contains with each head the semantic environment that must be reestablished when the corresponding head becomes the top of the head stack after a bracketed construct has effectively been removed and replaced by a default value as a result of a syntax error.

5. SYNTAX ERRORS IN A CONTEXT PARSER

A context parser determines that the present configuration is an error configuration if it cannot compute, according to its tables, a successor configuration. An error configuration

$h_0-----H, V, T y$

can occur because

- A. T is an error item in the source text, or
- B. V is an error item in the source text, or
- C. V represents a substring in the source text which contains an error item, or
- D. H represents a substring in the source text which contains an error item.

For cases C and D, the error item occurred earlier but was not recognized by the parser at that time. This is to be expected with a parser using only limited context to determine the transition, enough for syntactically correct source programs but possibly insufficient for syntactically incorrect ones. However, an error item will always eventually cause the occurrence of an ungrammatical configuration base.

In the following examples corresponding to the four cases listed above, the error item is encircled and the error configuration is indicated:

- A. ----BEGIN X = 2 **(IF)** ----
- B. ----BEGIN X = **(L)**: y = 2;----
- C. BEGIN INTEGER I; **(X)** = 3 END FINIS
- D. BEGIN INTEGER I; **(FOR)** X = 1 STEP REAL X END FINIS

Error configurations:

- A. -----BEGIN var =, num, IF-----
- B. -----BEGIN var =, label-id, :-----
- C. -----h₀ prog-hd SEMIC, assign-e, END FINIS -----
 h₀, block-hd, END FINIS
- D. h₀ prog-hd SEMIC FOR...STEP, D-DCL, END FINIS

The fact that an error situation may not be recognized when the error item is read but possibly much later does not present a problem in the TRIDENT Compiler. Using triples for computing transitions, this case is rare anyway. In fact, it may be advantageous to delay recognition of an error until the next construct starting with a left bracket such as FOR, IF, or '(' has been parsed. Pinpointing the error item to the programmer may not be quite as straightforward. An error message may for example say:

SYNTAX ERROR AT ITEM PRECEDING MOST RECENT IF
EXPRESSION ENDING IN LINE ---.

In the TRIDENT Compiler, this technique is needed only for the case where statements and expressions are found in the outermost block where they cannot occur legally.

6. ERROR RECOVERY IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE CONTEXT PARSER OF THE TRIDENT COMPILER

This section describes in some detail the method used to recover from syntax errors in preset statements, executable statements and expressions in the TRIDENT Compiler [6, 7]. Appendix A contains the relevant grammar, Appendix B lists terminals together with their names, and Appendix C contains the Error Recovery Table (HTMAP) representing various classes of terminals, non-terminals, and heads.

When the parser recognizes a syntax error, the procedure ERRP is entered. One of the following conditions will exist:

- A. The pair H, T is ungrammatical, or
- B. The pair H, T is grammatical but the triple H, V, T is not, or
- C. The triple H, V, T is grammatical but the transition to the next configuration uses a "marked" head to form a new head or to form a new non-terminal. This means that a substring was being parsed while the parser was in an error condition. This substring may be represented by V or it may already have been discarded at this point, in which case V is an input non-terminal. Thus, ERRP is capable of initiating the parsing of a substring and to take corrective action after that substring is parsed completely. The corrective action consists of reestablishing the semantic environment as it existed when the current head was created. This semantic environment is found on the head stack together with the corresponding head. The non-terminal is left unchanged if it is an input non-terminal; otherwise, it is replaced by:

- (1) D-DCL, or
- (2) number, or
- (3) NIL

depending on which one fits. They are tried in this order. If none fits, then NIL is chosen and regular error processing takes over.

In general, error processing is done for classes of terminals, of non-terminals, and of heads. These classes are defined according to the syntactic characteristics of their elements as follows.

6.1 CLASSES OF TERMINALS

The following classes $TC(i)$, $i = 1, \dots, 7$, represent a partition of all terminals. Terminals in the same class are treated alike.

```
TC(1) = {FINIS, END, SEMIC, ), IFEND, CASEEND}  
TC(2) = {LOC, BITNOT, NOT}  
TC(3) = {GOTO, RETURN, EXIT, LOOPEXIT, PROCEDURE, FOR, SWITCH, PRESET,  
CASE, IF}  
TC(4) = {BEGIN}  
TC(5) = {}  
TC(6) = {LB}  
TC(7) = {all remaining terminals}
```

Elements of $TC(1)$ are "right brackets" which initiate a search on the headstack for a corresponding left bracket. When a left bracket is found that matches, then the complete bracketed construct is effectively removed from the configuration. This means, for example, that for the pair (BEGIN, SEMIC) the last statement is removed, for the pair (BEGIN, END) the entire block is removed. If the pair (head, terminal) does not match then, except when the head is a begin head, this is interpreted as a complete construct with its right bracket missing. The terminal in $TC(1)$ that caused the backup is not removed in this case. However, if the head is a begin head, then the incomplete statement including the current terminal is removed.

The set $TC(1)$ is partitioned again, each element being in its own class except END and SEMIC being in one class together.

```
TBR(0) = {FINIS}  
TBR(1) = {END, SEMIC}  
TBR(2) = {}  
TBR(3) = {IFEND}  
TBR(4) = {CASEEND}
```

Each class $TBR(i)$ of terminal brackets corresponds to a class $HDBR(i)$ of matching head brackets as defined in Section 6.2.

Elements of TC(2) start a new expression that can occur in runtime or compile time (PRESET) statements. Elements of TC(3) start a new statement, or possibly an expression, that is not allowed in PRESETS. For elements of TC(3), the insertion of a semicolon is attempted first. If that does not produce a legal configuration then the current terminal will be given a default head that allows parsing to continue, and the current head on top of the head stack is marked. The technique of using a default head that fits to the current terminal is used for terminals in most classes after certain tests have been made. The default head is (for terminals in TC(2), WHILE for LB, and BEGIN, otherwise.

BEGIN cannot be put into the class TC(3) since it may open a block or continue a preset statement already started by the terminal PRESET. Thus, BEGIN does not always start a new construct.

For the left parenthesis two cases must be distinguished. First, it may start a new construct in which case the non-terminal preceding it in the current configuration must be NIL. Second, it may start, together with and depending on the non-terminal preceding it, a subscripted variable, component variable, switch expression, or procedure call. In both cases the current head on top of the head stack is marked and parsing will continue with BEGIN, as the default head for the first case and with (for the second case.

Elements of TC(7) are discarded; that is, effectively removed from the configuration and the next terminal in the remainder string is made the current one. If this new terminal was not preceded by a non-terminal, other than NIL, then the old non-terminal is kept; otherwise, it is replaced by the new one. This new configuration is checked for legality, or if it can be made legal by replacing the current non-terminal by D-DCL, or NUMBER, or NIL. If not, then it is treated according to the described classification of the current terminal.

6.2 CLASSES OF HEADS

Each of the classes defined below represents the collection of those heads that have absorbed a specific left bracket as indicated in the following table.

Head Class	Left Bracket Absorbed	Corresponding Right Bracket
HDBR(0)	-	FINIS
HDBR(1)	BEGIN	END ;
HDBR(2)	()

<u>Head Class</u>	<u>Left Bracket Absorbed</u>	<u>Corresponding Right Bracket</u>
HDBR(3)	IF	IFEND
HDBR(4)	CASE	CASEEND
HDBR(5)	LB	RB same as)
HDC(0) = {h ₀ }		
HDC(1) = { <u>PROG-HD SEMIC</u> , <u>BLOCK-HD SEMIC</u> , <u>BEGIN</u> , <u>PRESET-HD SEMIC</u> , <u>PRESET BEGIN</u> }		
HDC(2) = { <u>LP</u> , <u>ARRAY-ID LP</u> , <u>STACK-ID LP</u> , <u>PROC-ID LP</u> , <u>COMP-ID LP</u> , <u>GOTO SWITCH-ID LP</u> , <u>VAR-HD COMMA</u> , <u>COMP-HD COMMA</u> , <u>FUN-EXP-HD COMMA</u> , }		
HDC(3) = { <u>IF</u> , <u>IF E THEN</u> , <u>IF-HD COMMA</u> , <u>IF-HD COMMA E THEN</u> , <u>IF-HD ELSE</u> , }		
HDC(4) = { <u>CASE</u> , <u>CASE E DO</u> , <u>CASE-HD COMMA</u> , , }		
HDC(5) = { <u>WHILE E LB</u> , <u>FOR VAR-ID = E STEP E UNTIL E LB</u> , <u>FOR VAR-ID = E STEP E WHILE E LB</u> , <u>FOR VAR-ID = E REPEAT E WHILE E LB</u> , <u>LOOP-HD1 COMMA</u> , <u>LOOP-HD2 COMMA</u> , , <u>LOOP-HD3 COMMA</u> , <u>LOOP-HD4 COMMA</u> , }		

All elements of one head class will match one specific right bracket, in one case two right brackets (END ;). Conversely, to each right bracket corresponds one unique head class with the exception of the right parenthesis. The terminals) and } have not been distinguished syntactically; hence, the conflict concerning their head classes must be resolved by a special test. A situation like this should be avoided in the design of a grammar.

6.3 CLASSES OF NON-TERMINALS

For language constructs that have a repetitive substructure such as IF expressions, CASE expressions, or blocks, the grammar is designed such

that a non-terminal represents an initial string to which, recursively, a new substructure can be added on, or that can be closed by a "right bracket" terminal. For example:

```
CASE-HD → CASE E DO E-ST  
CASE-HD → CASE-HD COMMA E-ST  
CASE-E → CASE-HD CASEEND
```

Therefore, non-terminals of this kind must not be discarded without having been closed by a right bracket. The classes NTC(1) and NTC(2) are of this type. A closer look at the grammar shows that such a non-terminal U is always formed by a transition using $U \rightarrow H V$ from a configuration

$\dots h' H, V, T y$

where H, V, T was a grammatical triple. Otherwise, this transition could not have been made. Therefore, the resulting configuration

$h', U, T y$

is in error only because of h' . The proper treatment, then, is to supply a default head for U, T and to complete the current construct whose initial segment is represented by U . After that, the error in h' will come up again. The default head for elements of NTC(1) is BEGIN, and for elements of NTC(2) is FUN-EXP-HD COMMA.

```
NTC(1) = {PROG-HD, BLOCK-HD, PRESET-HD, IF-HD, CASE-HD, VAR-HD,  
COMP-HD, FUN-EXP-HD}  
NTC(2) = {LOOP-HD1, LOOP-HD2, LOOP-HD3, LOOP-HD4}
```

Finally, the following class

```
NTLP(1) = {ARRAY-ID, STACK-ID, PROC-ID, SWITCH-ID, COMP-ID}
```

contains those non-terminals that can precede a left parenthesis and must be associated with this left parenthesis to start a new construct. No other non-terminal apart from the filler NIL can precede a left parenthesis.

6.4 SINGULAR CASE

All non-terminals in NTC(1) and NTC(2) have absorbed a left bracket. The case can occur that a non-terminal absorbs a right bracket. This happens in the THLL grammar only once:

LABEL-END → LABEL-ID : END

When an error occurs with LABEL-END in the non-terminal position, then the non-terminal is replaced by NIL and an END is inserted. This corresponds to replacing a labeled END by an unlabeled END.

6.5 IMPLEMENTATION CONSIDERATIONS

The classes of terminals, non-terminals, and heads defined above can be implemented economically and accessed very efficiently using a single one-dimensional array HTMAP containing N words where N = max (number of heads, number of non-terminals, number of terminals). Each class name C corresponds to a field in a word. If I is the numeric code for a terminal, or a head, then

$$C[LOC\ HTMAP\ (I)] = \begin{cases} J & \text{if } I \text{ belongs to } C(J) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In the same manner, default heads associated with terminals can be encoded in this array. Alternatively, default heads associated with a class can be encoded directly in the field for that class. This is done in the TRIDENT Compiler for NTC. The field for NTC contains the encoding of BEGIN for all elements of NTC(1); it contains the encoding of FUN-EXP-HD COMMA for all elements of NTC(2). In the TRIDENT Compiler, HTMAP is an array of 74 32-bit words. This array also contains other information indicated by SCHEMA. It is used for generating a file that is needed by a Symbolic Debug System TOADC [8].

Besides such a classification device for terminals, non-terminals, and heads, a set of basic utility procedures is needed for at least the following purposes.

A. Checking if a given pair (head, terminal) or a given triple (head, non-terminal, terminal) is legal. Computing the transition vector for a given triple.

B. To allow the replacement of the current non-terminal by a filling non-terminal that makes the resulting triple legal.

C. To provide a general capability of inserting items into the sequence of items as seen by the parser.

D. Debugging aids to allow, depending on options, the printing of parsing cycles (configuration base and transition vector) of the entire head stack, the entire D-stack, the entire R-stack, and selected portions of the translated code.

All these routines and some others, more special ones, are employed in the syntactic error recovery procedure of the TRIDENT Compiler.

7. CONCLUSION

This paper describes some principles on which the recovery from syntactic errors encountered in a context parser are based. Both parsing and error recovery are table driven. This provides a unified and reliable approach for handling a wide variety of problems that can be formulated as translation of a language described by an operator grammar.

In the TRIDENT compiler, the table HTMAP used in error recovery was constructed by hand, while the parsing tables were generated by a general program from a grammar. From the definition of the various classes defined in the previous sections, it can be seen that these classes can be formed and encoded into an error recovery table automatically from the grammar and a high level description of some characteristics concerning the meaning of grammar symbols. It is planned to incorporate the construction of an error recovery table into the LISP program that currently generates the parsing tables.

REFERENCES

1. M. Dennis Mickunas and John A. Modry, *Automatic Error Recovery for LR Parsers*, CACM, Vol. 21, No. 6, June 1978.
2. Susan L. Graham and Steven P. Rhodes, *Practical Syntactic Error Recovery*, CACM, Vol. 18, No. 11, November 1975.
3. J.E. Musinski, *Lookahead Recall Error Recovery for LALR Parsers*, SIGPLAN Notices, October 1977.
4. D. Gries, *Compiler Construction for Digital Computers*, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., 1971.
5. H. G. Huber, *Generating Parsing Tables for Context Parsers*, Forthcoming.
6. The THLL Group, *TRIDENT Higher Level Language User's Guide*, Technical Report 3657, July 1977, Revised May 1978.
7. Paul Shebalin, *TRIDENT Higher Level Language Syntax Definition*, NSWC/DL TN-K-9/78.
8. J. A. Gaines, Jr., *An External Debugging System for Weapons System Programs Written in a Higher Level Language*, Proceedings, COMPSAC 78.

APPENDIX A

TRIDENT STATEMENT AND EXPRESSION GRAMMAR

TRIDENT STATEMENT AND EXPRESSION GRAMMAR

The grammar as listed in this appendix represents the input data for a LISP program to produce parsing tables. It consists of the following six groups of data:

(1) List of options

(2) List of terminals; they will be assigned a numerical code, in the order in which they appear

(3) Goal, highest syntactic unit

(4) List of productions together with semantic action numbers

(5) List of defined terminals

(6) List of input non-terminals

As usual in LISP, a list of items is written as

(X₁ X₂ X₃...)

where each item X_i can be a symbol or a number or a list. A production

Y → X₁ X₂...X_n

is represented as

(Y X₁ X₂...X_n)

Each production is followed by the list of the associated semantic action numbers. The action number 10 specifies a no action.

10FSYSF CPFILE T T T T T

(ALPHA AND-OP MULT-OP ** BITOR-XOR BITAND BITNOT LOC ENTRYP RE.-OP
NOT AND OR XOR = LP RP WHILE FOR STEP JVTIL REPEAT DU CASE CASEEND
CUMMA IF THEN ELSE IFEND COLON SEMIC JDTJ RETURN EXIT LOOPENIF
PROCEDURE BEGIN END NULL SWITCH PRESET TO FINIS
PRES-# LBI

6

00 ALPHA PREG FINIS

(10 78) PROG-MU END

(69)

IPROC PROG-HD SEMIC END

(10 69) BEGIN UCL

(65 10) PROG-HD SEMIC DCL

(10 19) DATA-OC.

(10) PROC-UC.

(10)

DATA-DCL D-DCL

(10)

DATA-DCL SH-DCL

(10)

DATA-DCL PRESET-JCL

(10)

SW-DCL SWITCH SWITCH-ID = .AB-.IST

(59 10 60)

(LAB-LIST LABEL-ID)

(10)

(LAB-LIST LAB-LIST CUMMA LABEL-ID)

(51 10)

PRESET-JCL PRESET PRESET-LL

(71 72)

PRESET-JCL PRESET-HD END

(72)

PRESET-JCL PRESET-HD SEMIC END

(10 72)

PRESET-10 PRESET JLOGIN PRESET-EL

(71 10 10)

PRESET-10 PRESET-HD SEMIC PRESET-EL

(10 10)

PRESET-E VAR PRES-# S-E-LIST

(74 75)

PRESET-E VAR TU VAR PRES-# S-E-LIST

(76 74 75)

(S-E-LIST SIMPLE-E)

(10)

(S-E-LIST COAMA SIMPLE-I)

(73 10)

THIS PAGE IS A QUALITY FRACTIONATED
FROM COPY SUBROUTINE TO DOC

OPROC-UCL PROCEDURE PROC-ID	SEMIC	PLOC-330Y	
(61 62 63)			
OPROC-B03Y F			
(10)			
OPROC-B03Y PROPLR-ST			
(10)			
OPROC-B03Y RETURN-ST			
(10)			
 SE SIMPLE-E			
(10)			
SE ASSIGN-E			
(10)			
 ST CG-ST			
(10)			
ST PROPER-ST			
(10)			
IGC-ST GOTO-ST			
(10)			
IGC-ST EXIT-ST			
(10)			
IGC-ST RETURN-ST			
(10)			
 IGOTO-ST GOTO LABEL-ID			
(17 16)			
IGOTO-ST GOTO SWITCH-ID LP E RP			
(17 10 19)			
 IEXIT-ST EXIT			
(10 45)			
IEXIT-ST EXIT LABEL-ID			
(10 45)			
IEXIT-ST LOOPEXIT			
(10 46)			
IEXIT-ST LOOPEXIT LABEL-ID			
(10 46)			
IRETURN-ST RETURN			
(10 47)			
IRETURN-ST RETURN E			
(10 47)			
 IPROPER-ST BLOCK-ST			
(10)			
IPROPER-ST LOOP-ST			
(10)			
IPROPER-ST NULL-ST			
(10)			
 SIMPLE-E DOOL-E			
(10)			

IBOOL-S	BOOL-TRY			
	(10)			
IBOOL-TRY	BOOL-FAS			
	(10)			
IBOOL-TRY	BOOL-TRM	JR	BOOL-FAS	
	(11	12)		
IBOOL-TRY	BOOL-TRM	XCR	BOOL-FAS	
	(1	15)		
IBOOL-FAS	BOOL-SEI			
	(10)			
IBOOL-FAS	BOOL-FAS	AND	BOOL-SEI	
	(13	16)		
IBOOL-SEI	BOOL-PRI			
	(10)			
IBOOL-SEI	NOT	BOOL-PRI		
	(1	16)		
IBOOL-PRI	RELAT			
	(10)			
IBOOL-PRI	S-ARTH-E			
	(10)			
IRELAT	S-ARTH-E	REL-OP	S-ARTH-E	
	(1	2)		
IS-ARTH-E	TERM			
	(10)			
IS-ARTH-E	ADD-OP	TERM		
	(1	6)		
IS-ARTH-E	S-ARTH-E	ADD-OP	TERM	
	(1	2)		
TERM	FACTOR			
	(10)			
TERM	TERM	MULT-OP	FACTOR	
	(1	2)		
FACTOR	PRIM4			
	(10)			
FACTOR	FACTOR	**	PRIM4	
	(1	7)		
IPRIM4	PRIM3			
	(10)			
IPRIM4	PRIM4	BITUR-XOR PRIM3		
	(1	2)		
IPRIM3	PRIM2			
	(10)			
IPRIM3	PRIM3	BITAND	PRIM2	
	(1	2)		
IPRIM2	PRIMARY			
	(10)			
IPRIM2	BITNOT	PRIMARY		
	(1	3)		
IPRIMARY	NUM			
	(10)			
IPRIMARY	STRING			
	(10)			
IPRIMARY	VAR			
	(10)			
IPRIMARY	LOC	VAR		
	(1	29)		
IPRIMARY	LOC	PROC-ID		
	(1	29)		
IPRIMARY	LOC	FORMAT-ID		
	(1	29)		
IPRIMARY	FJN-EXP			
	(10)			
IPRIMARY	COND-E			
	(10)			
IPRIMARY	CASE-E			
	(10)			
IPRIMARY	LP	E	RP	
	(10	10)		

MESSAGE VAR 0 E
15 61

ICOND-E IF-HD IFEND
(26)
ICONO-E IF-10 ELSE E-ST E-END
(23 24)
IF-HD IF E THEN E-ST
(20 21 10)
IF-HD IF-10 COMMA E E-EN E-ST
(22 21 10)

ICASE-E CASE-HD CASEEND
(28)
ICASE-HD CASE E DO E-ST
(25 26 10)
ICASE-HD CASE-HD COMMA E-ST
(27 10)

BLOCK-ST BLOCK-HD LNU
(68)
BLOCK-ST BLOCK-HD SEMIC FND
(66 67)
BLOCK-ST BLOCK-HD SEMIC LABEL-END
(66 67)
BLOCK-HD PROG-HD SEMIC LABEL-E-ST
(10 10)
BLOCK-HD BEGIN LABEL-E-ST
(65 10)
BLOCK-HD BLOCK-HD SEMIC LABEL-E-ST
(66 10)

LOOP-ST WHILE E DO E-PST
(30 31 32)
LOOP-ST FOR VAR-ID = E STEP E UNTIL E DO E-PST
(33 10 34 35 36 37) 0
LOOP-ST FOR VAR-ID = E STEP E WHILE E DO E-PST
(33 10 34 36 39 40)
LOOP-ST FOR VAR-ID = E REPEAT E WHILE E DO E-PST
(33 10 41 42 43 44)

NULL-ST NULL
191

EE-PST	{	
EE-PST	(10)	
EE-ST	PROPER-ST	
EE-ST	(10)	
EE-ST	E-PST	
EE-ST	(10)	
EE-ST	CC-ST	
EE-ST	(10)	
ILABEL-E-ST	E-ST	
ILABEL-E-ST	(10)	
ILABEL-E-ST	LABEL-ID COLON LABEL-E-ST	
ILABEL-E-ST	(64 10)	
ILABEL-EVD	LABEL-ID COLON	END
ILABEL-EVD	(64 10)	
ILABEL-EVD	LABEL-ID COLON	LABEL-EVD
ILABEL-EVD	(64 10)	
IIVAR	VAR-ID	
IIVAR	(10)	
IIVAR	STACK-ID LP	E
IIVAR	(53 51)	
IIVAR	A-SJ9-VAR	
IIVAR	(10)	
IIVAR	COMP-VAR	
IIVAR	(10)	
IA-SUB-VAR	VAR-HD RP	
IA-SUB-VAR	(52)	
IIVAR-HD	ARRAY-ID LP	E
IIVAR-HD	(50 10)	
IIVAR-HD	VAR-HD COMMA	E
IIVAR-HD	(51 10)	
ICOMP-VAR	COMP-HD RP	
ICOMP-VAR	(56)	
ICOMP-HD	COMP-ID LP	E
ICOMP-HD	(59 10)	
ICOMP-HD	COMP-HD COMMA	E
ICOMP-HD	(51 10)	
IFUN-EXP	PROC-ID	
IFUN-EXP	(10)	
IFUN-EXP	FUN-EXP-HD RP	
IFUN-EXP	(58)	
IFUN-EXP-ID	PROC-ID LP A-PAR	
IFUN-EXP-ID	(57 10)	
IFUN-EXP-HD	FUN-EXP-HD COMMA A-PAR	
IFUN-EXP-HD	(60 10)	

```

A-PAR   E
       0800
(A-PAR  ARRAY-ID
       110)
(A-PAR  STACK-ID
       110)
(A-PAR  DEV-ID
       110)
(A-PAR  ENTRYP  PRUC-ID
       {1 6}
(A-PAR  FORMAT-ID
       110)
(A-PAR  INTER-ID
       110)
(A-PAR  LOOP-ARG
       110)

(LOOP-ARG LOOP-M01 RP
       182)
(LOOP-ARG LOOP-M02 RP
       183)
(LOOP-ARG LOOP-M03 RP
       184)
(LOOP-ARG LOOP-M04 RP
       185)
(LOOP-M01 WHILE E LO A-PAR
       138 31 10)
(LOOP-M02 FOR VAR-ID = E STEP E JNFFL E LO A-PAR
       133 10 36 35 36 19)
(LOOP-M03 FOR VAR-ID = E STEP E WHILE E LO A-PAR
       133 10 36 38 39 10)
(LOOP-M04 FOR VAR-ID = E REPEAT E WHILE E LO A-PAR
       133 10 41 42 43 10)
(LOOP-M01 LOOP-M01 COMMA A-PAR
       181 10)
(LOOP-M02 LOOP-M02 COMMA A-PAR
       181 10)
(LOOP-M03 LOOP-M03 COMMA A-PAR
       181 10)
(LOOP-M04 LOOP-M04 COMMA A-PAR
       181 10)

MULT-OP AND-OP REL-OP
(UM STRING VAR-ID ARRAY-ID STACK-ID PROG-ID DEV-ID
FORMAT-ID LABEL-ID SWITCH-ID + INTER-ID COMP-ID O-OCL
PRINT (ENDPARSER)
STOP)))))))})
FIN

```

APPENDIX B

NAMES OF TERMINALS

NAMES OF TERMINALS

<u>Names</u>	<u>Terminals</u>
ADD-OP	ADD-OP
MULT-OP	MULT-OP
**	**
BITOR-XOR	BITOR-XOR
BITAND	BITAND
BITNOT	BITNOT
LOC	LOC
ENTRYP	ENTRYP
REL-OP	REL-OP
NOT	NOT
AND	AND
OR	OR
XOR	XOR
=	=
LP	(
RP) or }
WHILE	WHILE
FOR	FOR
STEP	STEP
UNTIL	UNTIL
REPEAT	REPEAT
DO	DO
CASE	CASE
CASEEND	CASEEND
COMMA	,
IF	IF
THEN	THEN
ELSE	ELSE
IFEND	IFEND
COLON	:
SEMIC	;
GOTO	GOTO
RETURN	RETURN
EXIT	EXIT
LOOPEXIT	LOOPEXIT
PROCEDURE	PROCEDURE
BEGIN	BEGIN
END	END
NULL	NULL
SWITCH	SWITCH
PRESET	PRESET
TO	TO
FINIS	FINIS
PRES==	=
LB	{

APPENDIX C

ERROR RECOVERY TABLE HTMAP

0000000000
0000000000
0000000000

/*

NUMBER	NAME	FUNCTION
1 NIL	ALPHA	ACD-OP
2 WIR	PRJUN-MI BASIC	MULT-OP
3 SWING	BEGIN	"
4 VAR-ID	SWITCH	"
5 ARRAY-ID	SWITCH SWITCH-ID *	BIT04-104
6 STACK-ID	LAD-LIST COMM	BIT04-104
7 PROG-ID	RESET	BITNOT
8 FORMAT-ID	RESET-MO SERIC	LOG
9 LABEL-ID	RESET-BEGIN	INT4TP
10 VAR	VAR PRES-*	REL-OP
11 SWITCH-ID	VAR TO	NLT
12 INT4TP-ID	VAR TO VAR PRES-*	ANU
13 COMP-ID	S-E-LIST COMM	DR
14 C-OCL	PROCEDURE	FOR
15 G	PROCEDURE PROG-ID SERIC	"
16 PROG	GOTO	LP
17 PROG-NB	GOTO SWITCH-ID LP	RP
18 OCL	EXIT	WHILE
19 DATA-OCL	LOOPEXIT	POA
20 S-E-OCL	RETURN	STEP
21 L-E-OCL	LOOP-TRN OR	UNTIL
22 RESET-OCL	LOOP-TRN FOR	REPEAT
23 PRESET-ID	LOOP-FAC AND	DO
24 PRESET-EL	NOT	CASE
25 S-E-LIST	S-ARTH-E REL-JP	CASENO
26 PROG-OCL	ADC-OP	COMM
27 PROG-OCL	S-ARTH-E ADC-JP	IF
28 ST	TERM MULT-OP	THEN
29 IF-ST	TERM "	ELSE
30 GOTO-ST	PALIN BIT04-104	OPENB
31 GOTO-ST	PALIN BIT04-104	COLUMN
32 RETURN-ST	BIT NOT	SENTO
33 RETURN-ST	-DC	GOTO
34 PROPER-ST	LP	RETURN
35 SIMPLE-E	VAR *	EXIT
36 DOOL-TRN	IP-MO ELSE	LOOPEXIT
37 DOOL-FAC	IP E THEN	PROCEDURE
38 DOOL-SAC	IP-MO COMM	BEGIN
39 DOOL-SAC	IP-MO COMM E THEN	END
40 DOOL-SAC	CASE	MUL
41 S-ARTH-E	CASE I DO	SWITCH
42 TERM	CASE-MO COMM	RESET
43 FACTOR	BLOCK-MO SERIC	TO
44 PRIM	WHILE	FINIS
45 PRIM	WHILE E DO	PROG-*
46 PRIM	FOR	LP
47 PRIMARY	FOR VAR-ID *	STAB
48 ASSIG-N	FOR VAR-ID * E	STEP
49 UNDO-E	FOR VAR-ID * E	E UNTIL
50 IF-MO	FOR VAR-ID * E	E UNTIL E DO
51 CASE-E	FOR VAR-ID * E	STEP E WHILE
52 CASE-MO	FOR VAR-ID * E	E WHILE E DO
53 BLOCK-ST	FOR VAR-ID *	REPEAT
54 BLOCK-MO	FOR VAR-ID *	E REPEAT E WHILE
55 LOOP-ST	FOR VAR-ID *	E REPEAT E WHILE E DO
56 NULL-ST	LADL-ID	
57 E-PT	STACK-ID LP	
58 E-ST	ARRAY-ID LP	
59 L-BEL-E-ST	VAR-MO COMM	
60 L-BEL-MO	COMP-ID LP	
61 VAR	COMP-MO COMM	
62 SUB-VAR	PROG-ID LP	
63 VAR-MO	FUN-EXP-MO COMM	
64 COMP-OR	INT-TP	
65 COMP-MO	WHILE E LP	
66 FUN-EXP	FOR VAR-ID * E	STEP E UNTIL E DO
67 FUN-EXP-MO	FOR VAR-ID * E	E WHILE E LP
68 E-PR	FOR VAR-ID *	REPEAT E WHILE E DO
69 LOOP-MO	LOOP-MO1 COMM	
70 LOOP-MO1	LOOP-MO2 COMM	
71 LOOP-MO2	LOOP-MO3 COMM	
72 LOOP-MO3	LOOP-MO4 COMM	
73 LOOP-MO4		

THIS PAGE IS BEST QUALITY PROGRAMMING
FROM COPY PUBLISHED TO LOC

OWN INTEGER ARRAY HTMAP(73);
 OWN POINTER HTORG;
 GLOBAL HTORG;

PRESET BEGIN
 HTORG = LOC HTMAP();

/	K29	K21	K20	K13	K10	K3	K3*/
/	SCHEMA	40BR	NTLP	NTC	TBR	H0	TG*/
HTMAP(0) =	2K29+	JK21+					3 :
HTMAP(1) =	2K29+	LK21+					7 :
HTMAP(2) =	2K29+	LK21+					7 :
HTMAP(3) =	2K29+	LK21+					7 :
HTMAP(4) =	2K29+		1K20+				7 :
HTMAP(5) =	2K29+		1K20+				7 :
HTMAP(6) =	2K29+		1K20+				7 :
HTMAP(7) =	2K29+		1K21+			33K3 +	2 :
HTMAP(8) =	2K29+		1K21+			33K3 +	2 :
HTMAP(9) =	1K29+		1K21+				7 :
HTMAP(10) =	2K29+		1K20+				7 :
HTMAP(11) =	1K29+		1K20+			33K3 +	2 :
HTMAP(12) =	1K29+		1K20+				7 :
HTMAP(13) =	2K29+		1K20+				7 :
HTMAP(14) =	2K29+		1K20+				7 :
HTMAP(15) =	2K29+		1K20+			2K3 +	5 :
HTMAP(16) =	1K29+	2K21+		2K13+ 2K16+			1 :
HTMAP(17) =	2K29+						7 :
HTMAP(18) =	2K29+					2K3 +	3 :
HTMAP(19) =	1K29+						7 :
HTMAP(20) =	1K29+						7 :
HTMAP(21) =	1K29+						7 :
HTMAP(22) =	1K29+			2K13+			7 :
HTMAP(23) =	1K29+					2K3 +	3 :
HTMAP(24) =	1K29+				4K10+		1 :
HTMAP(25) =	1K29+						7 :
HTMAP(26) =	1K29+					2K3 +	3 :
HTMAP(27) =	1K29+						7 :
HTMAP(28) =	1K29+						7 :
HTMAP(29) =	1K29+			3<18+			1 :
HTMAP(30) =	1K29+						7 :
HTMAP(31) =	1K29+				1K10+		1 :
HTMAP(32) =	1K29+					2K3 +	3 :
HTMAP(33) =	0K29+	<<21+				2K3 +	3 :
HTMAP(34) =	1K29+					2K3 +	3 :
HTMAP(35) =	0K29+	3K21+				2K3 +	3 :
HTMAP(36) =	1K29+	3K21+				2K3 +	3 :
HTMAP(37) =	0K29+	3K21+				2K3 +	3 :
HTMAP(38) =	1K29+	3K21+			1K10+		1 :
HTMAP(39) =	0K29+	3K21+					7 :
HTMAP(40) =	1K29+	4K21+				2K3 +	3 :
HTMAP(41) =	0K29+	+K21+				2K3 +	3 :
HTMAP(42) =	0K29+	-K21+					7 :
HTMAP(43) =	2K29+	1K21+			0<10+		1 :
HTMAP(44) =	1K29+						7 :
HTMAP(45) =	2K29+				6<K3 +		6 :

HTMAP(46)	=	1K29+		6 :	
HTMAP(47)	=	1K29+		9 :	
HTMAP(48)	=	1K29+		0 :	
HTMAP(49)	=	1K29+		0 :	
HTMAP(50)	=	2K29+	2K13+	0 :	
HTMAP(51)	=	1K29+		0 :	
HTMAP(52)	=	2K29+	2K13+	0 :	
HTMAP(53)	=	1K29+		0 :	
HTMAP(54)	=	1K29+		0 :	
HTMAP(55)	=	2K29+	2K13+	0 :	
HTMAP(56)	=	2K29+		0 :	
HTMAP(57)	=	1K29+	2K21+	0 :	
HTMAP(58)	=	1K29+	2K21+	0 :	
HTMAP(59)	=	1K29+	2K21+	0 :	
HTMAP(60)	=	1K29+	2K21+	0 :	
HTMAP(61)	=	1K29+	2K21+	0 :	
HTMAP(62)	=	1K29+	2K21+	0 :	
HTMAP(63)	=	1K29+	2K21+	0 :	
HTMAP(64)	=	1K29+	2K21+	0 :	
HTMAP(65)	=	1K29+	5K21+	2K13+	0 :
HTMAP(66)	=	1K29+	5K21+		0 :
HTMAP(67)	=	1K29+	5K21+	2K13+	0 :
HTMAP(68)	=	1K29+	5K21+		0 :
HTMAP(69)	=	1K29+	5K21+		0 :
HTMAP(70)	=	1K29+	5K21+	63K13+	0 :
HTMAP(71)	=	1K29+	5K21+	63K13+	0 :
HTMAP(72)	=	1K29+	5K21+	63K13+	0 :
HTMAP(73)	=	JK29+		63K13+	0 :
END :					

END
FINIS

DISTRIBUTION

Virginia Polytechnic Institute
and State University
Department of Computer Science
562 McBryde Hall
Blacksburg, VA 24060
ATTN: Dr. R. Nance
Dr. J. Lee

Institute for Defense Analysis
400 Army Navy Drive
Arlington, VA 22202
ATTN: Dr. D. Fisher

Defense Documentation Center
Cameron Station
Alexandria, VA 22314 (12)

Library of Congress
Washington, DC 20540
ATTN: Gift and Exchange Division (4)

K54 (25)
x210 (2)